

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,764	05/04/2005	William Brown	100884-1P US	6329
²²⁴⁶⁶ ASTRA ZENE	7590 01/10/200 CA PHARMACEUTIO	EXAMINER		
GLOBAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 1800 CONCORD PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19850-5437			MOORE, SUSANNA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/533,764	BROWN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Susanna Moore	1624

	Susanna Moore	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 27 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	24 Con attached Nation of Non Co	maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		amphant Amendment	(F10L-324). →				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-10,13,14 and 17-21. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16.		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See memo.		n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant has amended claim 7 to include "I" below the formula in said claim for clarification purposes only. Thus, the amendments will be entered.

Applicant's arguments regarding the enablement rejection of claim 16 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On pages 14-15, Applicant repeatedly sites passages from the Specification that provide support for delta opioid receptor agonist activity. This is not the issue at hand. Claim 16 is drawn to a method of treating anxiety. A definitive correlation between anxiety and a delta opioid receptor agonist is the connection that needs to be made.

Applicants state at the bottom of page 16 and the top of page 17, "Applicants, however, are perplexed by this assertion because as Applicants have already pointed out hereinabovethe application as-filed clearly stated that the 79 example and at least some of the 8 intermediate compounds disclosed therein were found to be active toward human delta opioid receptors via the assays and testing protocols set forth therein. Moreover, the specification further states that "[f]or the anxiety and anxiety-like indications, efficacy has been established in the geller-seifter conflict test in the rat."" Applicant has only established enablement for the inhibition of the delta opioid receptor, not anxiety. Applicant has not provided any working examples or data to support the statement. Was one compound tested or a representative sample of the genus tested? The geller-seifter assay is an acceptable assay known in the literature to test for anxiety in vivo but Applicants lack actual data. The Examiner cannot determine if the tested compound even falls within the claimed genus. No data is present in the Specification for treatment of anxiety in general, either directly or in form of animal models.

Art Unit: 1624

Such testing, if verified, **q**ould overcome the rejection, but this bare sentence is not sufficient.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna Moore whose telephone number is (571) 272-9046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 S_{M}

Mark L. Berch
Primary examiner
Art Unit 1624
Technology Center 1600